

## Appendix 1

### Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Impact Assessment

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration. In all appropriate instances we will need to carry out an equality, diversity, cohesion and integration impact assessment.

<b>Directorate: City Development</b>	<b>Service area: Highways &amp; Transportation</b>
<b>Lead person: Gillian MacLeod</b>	<b>Contact number: 0113 37 88091</b>
<b>Date of the equality, diversity, cohesion and integration impact assessment: 26/03/2024</b>	

<b>1. Title: Section 278 highway works associated with the Construction of a new industrial unit, off Queen Street, Stourton, Leeds, LS10 1SB</b>
Is this a:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Strategy /Policy</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Service / Function</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other</b>
<b>If other, please specify</b>

#### 2. Members of the assessment team:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Organisation</b>	<b>Role on assessment team e.g. service user, manager of service, specialist</b>
Gillian MacLeod	LCC	Service Manager
Adrian Hodgson	LCC	Traffic Manager
John Mills	LCC	Technical Support Team Leader
Kasia Speakman	LCC	Team Leader (Programmes)

<b>3. Summary of strategy, policy, service or function that was assessed:</b>
<p>Section 278 (S278) of the Highways Act 1980 makes provision for the Highway Authority to enter into an agreement to execute works with any other person (either an individual / organisation / developer) to make modifications, improvements and changes to the highway and for those works to be funded by that person / developer or organisation.</p> <p>A S278 agreement is entered into between developers and the Council and ensures that any impact on the highway, or improvements required to the highway, as a result of developments undertaken are agreed, and paid for prior to the works commencing.</p>

S278 agreements can be entered into with an individual, but generally they are made between Developers and the Council.

There are three types of S278 agreements:

#### Mini Section 278 Agreements

A Mini Section 278 Agreement is a formal arrangement to enable developers to carry out extremely minor highway works. This type of agreement covers minor footway crossing works, amendments to paving to provide level access, removal and reinstatement of planters, etc where the Developer designs and constructs the works, but provides a bond as surety. Leeds City Council obtains staff fees for checking the design and supervision of the works and fixed legal costs. This type of agreement is very minor in nature and does not include for commuted sums (payments for maintenance).

#### Minor Section 278 Agreements

A Minor Section 278 Agreement is a formal arrangement for developers to carry out minor highway works themselves. It follows the same format as a mini S278 agreement but is used for schemes which are slightly more involved than a footway crossing, but not so involved that there is any major requirement for traffic management on a busy road, or likely involvement with statutory undertakers, and the design is not complex in any way. This type of agreement is most often used where the development and highway works are adjacent or make use of the same site, making it very difficult for a separate contractor to be working in the same area, eg re-paving footways, provision of lay-by within a site contractor's working zone. A Minor S278 still requires the provision of a bond but does also allow for the acquisition of commuted sums for maintenance.

#### Standard Section 278 Agreements

A Standard Section 278 Agreement is used for all other highway works. The works are designed and supervised by Leeds City Council on behalf of the Developer. This type of agreement is used for most significant off-site highway works associated with planning applications. Standard S278 agreements do not require the provision of a bond as all monies are paid upfront.

This Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration (EDCI) Impact Assessment considers the process of determining the requirements of such developments and how this process gives due regard to the equality characteristics. Specific EDCI considerations of the schemes are considered at planning application stage when the principal of the development and associated infrastructure works are approved. A summary of these considerations is included in section 5.

**4. Scope of the equality, diversity, cohesion and integration impact assessment**  
(complete - 4a. if you are assessing a strategy, policy or plan and 4b. if you are assessing a service, function or event)

#### **4a. Strategy, policy or plan**

The vision and themes, objectives or outcomes

x

The vision and themes, objectives or outcomes and the supporting guidance	<input type="checkbox"/>
A specific section within the strategy, policy or plan	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>Please provide detail:</b></p> <p>The screening relates to the Chief Highways &amp; Transportation Officer recommendations to agree the highway works required to serve an industrial development at land off Queen Street, Stourton.</p>	

<b>4b. Service, function, event</b>	
The whole service (including service provision and employment)	<input type="checkbox"/>
A specific part of the service (including service provision or employment or a specific section of the service)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Procuring of a service (by contract or grant)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>Please provide detail:</b></p>	

**5. Fact finding – what do we already know**

**Process Review**

When considering the requirements of a planning application that will require a S278 agreement to deliver highway works once consent is granted, a pro-forma is completed which considers the following:

- Development type and location
- Accessibility – using guidelines laid down in the Leeds Core Strategy, Manual for Streets and LCC Street Design Guide (which has been the subject of an EIA) consideration is given to; walkers, cyclists, public transport users, vulnerable road users and impact on services nearby, for example - schools
- Vehicular access – safety of this relating to width, radii, visibility splays, crossing opportunities
- Internal layout / servicing / bins – shared surface issues. Ability to move around safely.

- Parking – safety issues, availability of disabled spaces in line with the Core Strategy and Transport SPD.
- Travel Plan – Availability and accessibility of public transport.
- Off site highways works – impacts of the development on the surrounding area e.g. – increased traffic flows, do we need a new set of traffic lights.
- Road safety – current statistics and impact on these.
- Planning conditions

These items are considered in terms of the protected characteristics.

The highways works put forward have taken into account the needs of each of the equality characteristics and will aim to meet Section 278 (4) criteria, which states that “A *highway authority shall not enter into an agreement under this section unless they are satisfied that it will be of benefit to the public*”.

The highway works will benefit the public to meet the requirements of Section 278 (4).

### **Site Specific Considerations**

The proposed highway works comprise:

- a. Priority controlled junction with associated give-way markings;
- b. Refuge island with traffic bollards to aid pedestrians in crossing the access;
- c. Dropped kerbs with tactile paving across the new access on Queen Street;
- d. Re-alignment of the existing double yellow lines on Queen Street to cover the junction’s bell-mouth;
- e. A strip of land to be dedicated to Highways;
- f. Any associated statutory undertakers’ works resulting from the works; and
- g. Any works reasonably required following safety audits of the design and construction of the highway works.

The site is within a built-up area, mainly industrial with local amenities and public transport facilities located within walking distance. Therefore, the proposals will contribute to improved accessibility to the site.

### **Are there any gaps in equality and diversity information?**

No, however to reinforce the need to consider equality impacts, an additional equality item will be added to the pro-forma. – completed 2012.

Review operation of equality heading on proforma. – May 2017

### **Action required:**

Amendments to be made to the pro-forma – completed 2012.

Update team in Technical Team Meeting to ensure importance of correctly filling in proforma – May 2017

Procedure reviewed October 2019 – site specific considerations added



Built environment		Location of premises & services	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Information and communication	<input type="checkbox"/>	Customer care
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Timing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stereotypes and assumptions
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cost	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consultation and involvement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Financial exclusion	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment and training
<input type="checkbox"/>	specific barriers to the strategy, policy, services or function		

The location of a site may affect the type of improvements allowed.

In the current economic climate, the cost of certain improvements will affect what changes are agreed.

8. Positive and negative impact
<p><b>8a. Positive impact:</b></p> <p>The highways works put forward have taken into account the needs of each of the equality characteristics and will aim to meet Section 278 (4) criteria, which states that “A highway authority shall not enter into an agreement under this section unless they are satisfied that it will be of benefit to the public”.</p> <p>The proposed dropped kerb pedestrian crossing with tactile paving will assist people who have impaired sight and mobility as well as people with pushchairs, including the central refuge island in place for people crossing the large bell-mouth.</p> <p>The re-aligned double yellow lines will also ensure the junction is protected from any parking. Blue badge car parking within the site will also be provided as per the parking policy requirements.</p> <p>As part of the design and construction process further equality screenings and impact assessments will be undertaken as required. For instance, equality screenings prior to commencement of the access works to ensure that a footway crossing is maintained for disabled people, including an access ramp.</p> <p><b>Action required:</b> None.</p>

<p><b>8b. Negative impact:</b></p> <p>Formation of a new junction on Queen Street is going to add interaction between pedestrians and traffic; with a potential negative impact on disabled people (blind and partially sighted people in particular).</p>
--

**Action required:**

The provision of dropped kerbs and tactile paving will have a positive impact as stated previously, and mitigate the negative impact outlined above.

**9. Will this activity promote strong and positive relationships between the groups/communities identified?**

Yes

No

Improving and providing inclusive, accessible and safe design at the development site will increase use by the public.

**Action required:**

None.

**10. Does this activity bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other? (e.g. in schools, neighbourhood, workplace)**

Yes

No

Improving and providing inclusive, accessible and safe design at the development site will increase use by the public.

**Action required:**

None.

**11. Could this activity be perceived as benefiting one group at the expense of another?**

Yes

No

**Please provide detail:**

**Action required:**

None.

**12. Equality, diversity, cohesion and integration action plan**

<b>Action</b>	<b>Timescale</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Lead person</b>
Add 'Additional Equality Considerations to the S278 pro-forma.	December 2012	N/A	Gillian MacLeod
EIA reviewed and updated by Highways Development Services reps.	May 2017	Update team at technical team meeting May 2017	Gillian MacLeod
EDCI IA reviewed and amended. Specific site considerations that were considered at planning application stage has been added to section 5.	October 2019	Update TDS team and ensure site specific considerations are included in S278 HB Reports going forward.	Gillian MacLeod



**13. Governance, ownership and approval**

Name	Job Title	Date
Gillian MacLeod	Transport Development Services Manager	October 2019
<b>Date impact assessment completed</b>		<b>22/10/2019</b>

**14. Monitoring progress for equality, diversity, cohesion and integration actions**

- As part of Service Planning performance monitoring
- As part of Project monitoring
- Update report will be agreed and provided to the appropriate board
- Other (please specify)

**15. Publishing**

Though **all** key decisions are required to give due regard to equality the council **only** publishes those related to **Executive Board, Full Council, Key Delegated Decisions** or a **Significant Operational Decision**.

A copy of this equality impact assessment should be attached as an appendix to the decision-making report:

- Governance Services will publish those relating to Executive Board and Full Council.
- The appropriate directorate will publish those relating to Delegated Decisions and Significant Operational Decisions.
- A copy of all other equality impact assessments that are not to be published should be sent to [equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk](mailto:equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk) for record.

Complete the appropriate section below with the date the report and attached assessment was sent:

For Executive Board or Full Council – sent to <b>Governance Services</b>	Date sent:
For Delegated Decisions or Significant Operational Decisions – sent to appropriate <b>Directorate</b>	Date sent:
All other decisions – sent to <a href="mailto:equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk">equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk</a>	Date sent: 24 April 2013 Reviewed and re-sent May 2017, November 2019